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1. BRIEF EVALUATION (please indicate)

|  |  |  |
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|  | YES  | NO |
| Is the subject matter relevant for social work? |  |  |
| Does the article contain new findings that are important for the development of the field? |  |  |
| Is the research problem clearly articulated? |  |  |
| Is the research methodology/method of treating the subject matter appropriate and consistent? |  |  |
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| Is the title appropriate and connected with the contents of the article? |  |  |
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| Were research ethical principles taken into account? |  |  |
| Is the text of the article understandable and readable? |  |  |
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